

3250 W. Pleasant Run, Suite 125 Lancaster, TX 75146-1069 Ph 972-825-7231 Fax 972-274-9022

DATE OF REVIEW: 10/27/15

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

The item in dispute is the prospective medical necessity of Methocarbamol 750mg #60 6 refills that were received on 8/13/15.

<u>A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER</u> HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

The reviewer is a Medical Doctor who is board certified in Internal Medicine

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

⊠Upheld	(Agree)
Overturned	(Disagree)
Partially Overturned	(Agree in part/Disagree in part)

The reviewer agrees with the previous adverse determination regarding Methocarbamol 750mg #60 6 refills that were received on xxxxx

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The claimant is a male who suffered a work related back injury on XX/XX/XX. He has had low back pain with radiation into the right hip and down the right lower extremity to the level of the knee. The claimant has been treated with gabapentin, Lyrica, and amitriptyline at different points in xxxx. He has also been treated with zolpidem and methocarbamol. Methocarbamol 750mg #60 with 6 refills were prescribed on xxxxxx.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

Official Disability Guidelines states that muscle relaxants (including methocarbamol) are recommended only for acute cases of moderate to severe low back pain or acute spasms. It is not recommended to use muscle relaxants for chronic back pain. Thus, methocarbamol 750mg #60 6 refills that was received on xxxxx was not medically necessary.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER
CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:
ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL
MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
☐ DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
☐ EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN☐ INTERQUAL CRITERIA
MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN
ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
☐ MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
☐ PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
TEVAS SUBSTINES FOR SUBSORDANTIC SUALITY ASSURANCE & DRASTICE
☐ TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
PARAIVIETERS
☐ TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
☐ TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
☐ PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A
DESCRIPTION)
OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
Physicans Desk Reference, 2015 edition
Micromedex
Official Disability Guidelines, Muscle Relaxants